UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

NURAH RAHMAN,) CASE NO. 1:05 CV 794
Plaintiff,) JUDGE PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN
v.)) <u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION</u>
JOHM M. MANOS, Judge, et al.,) <u>AND ORDER</u>
Defendants.)

On March 24, 2005, plaintiff <u>pro se</u> Nurah Rahman filed this <u>in forma pauperis</u> action against Judge John M. Manos, Cleveland Mayor Jane Campbell, and Cuyahoga County Elizabeth Balraj. For the reasons stated below, this action is dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e).

Although pro se pleadings are liberally construed, <u>Boag v. MacDougall</u>, 454 U.S. 364, 365 (1982) (per curiam); <u>Haines v. Kerner</u>, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972), the district court is required to dismiss an action under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) if it fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, or if it lacks an arguable

basis in law or fact. Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319 (1989);

Lawler v. Marshall, 898 F.2d 1196 (6th Cir. 1990); Sistrunk v. City

of Strongsville, 99 F.3d 194, 197 (6th Cir. 1996).

Judges are immune from liability for actions taken within the scope of their official duties. Pierson v. Ray, 387 U.S. 547 (1967). There is no suggestion in the complaint that Judge Manos acted outside the scope of his official duties with regard to the actions of which plaintiff complains. Further, no coherent claim is set forth against any of the named defendants.

Accordingly, this action is dismissed under section 1915(e). Further, the court certifies, pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

A claim may be dismissed <u>sua sponte</u>, without prior notice to the plaintiff and without service of process on the defendant, if the court explicitly states that it is invoking section 1915(e) [formerly 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d)] and is dismissing the claim for one of the reasons set forth in the statute. <u>McGore v. Wrigglesworth</u>, 114 F.3d 601, 608-09 (6th Cir. 1997); <u>Spruytte v. Walters</u>, 753 F.2d 498, 500 (6th Cir. 1985), <u>cert. denied</u>, 474 U.S. 1054 (1986); <u>Harris v. Johnson</u>, 784 F.2d 222, 224 (6th Cir. 1986); <u>Brooks v. Seiter</u>, 779 F.2d 1177, 1179 (6th Cir. 1985).